## Environmentally Sustainable Utilization of Nitrogen on Corn 2015

## Purpose:

To improve nitrogen use efficiency for sustainable corn production, while enhancing the environment. This project will evaluate the yield response to comparable nitrogen ( N ) rates applied at various timings, including a late application (Y-Drop) applied at the 10 to 14 corn leaf stage to planting broadcast or side-dressed applied nitrogen.

## Background:

Recent research has shown that hybrids of today's era use more nitrogen (29\%) post tassel than hybrids of the 1990's and earlier. Modern day hybrid's take up seven lbs. more nitrogen. Up to $37 \%$ of the total $N$ is taken up post tassel.

N uptake prior to flowering supports critical ear shoot development, kernel number and potential kernel size. Corn growers have traditionally targeted $N$ availability to this period, and considered post-flowering application to be of little value.

Given the variability in soil type and environmental conditions from year to year, nitrogen use efficiency may be improved by applying a portion of the required nitrogen closer to when the corn plant will take up the nitrogen.

Recently new equipment (Image 1) has become available to allow growers to apply N (Image 2) at later growth stages. Research work in the United States has shown favorable yield increases and improved nitrogen utilization while minimizing potential water quality concerns. However, no research work has been conducted to date in Ontario assessing these corn nitrogen management changes.

Image 1: Y Drop units attached to sprayer for late $\mathbf{N}$ Application


Image 2: Y Drop units attached to sprayer for late N Application


Image 3: $\mathbf{Y}$ Drop liquid $\mathbf{N}$ stream of late $\mathbf{N}$ beside the corn row.


## Methods:

10 farm locations in the Ottawa-Carleton, Lanark and Dundas counties, applied the 6 treatments (see Table 1), with 3 randomized replications of each treatments. Note: actual N rates at each site varied depending on the Grower Rate of each location.

Table 1: An example of the six treatments.

| Treatments: | Starter N | Sidedress <br> N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Late N } \\ & \text { (Y-Drop) } \end{aligned}$ | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Starter N at Planting Only ( N up to $40 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) | 30 |  |  | 30 |
| 2. $G R^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reduced rate by 25 to 30 (lbs/ac) $-2 / 3^{b}, 1 / 3^{c}$ | 30 | 50 | 25 | 105 |
| 3. $\mathrm{GR}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 30 | 100 |  | 130 |
| 4. $G R^{\mathrm{a}}$ - split approximately $2 / 3^{b}, 1 / 3^{c}$ | 30 | 60 | 40 | 130 |
| 5. $\mathrm{GR}^{\mathrm{a}}$ - increased rate, split approximately $-2 / 3^{b}, 1 / 3^{c}$ | 30 | 100 | 50 | 180 |
| 6. $\mathrm{GR}^{\mathrm{a}}$ - increased rate by 30 to $50 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 30 | 150 |  | 180 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{GR}=$ Grower's Current N Rate; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ sidedress; <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ late applied (V10 to V14 corn stage) pounds per acre (lbs/ac) |  |  |  |  |

VERIS was used at several location to measure CEC, pH and Organic Matter to characterize the soils into management zones while enhancing our understanding of soil/nitrogen relationships (Figure 1). UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) (Image 4-5) maps were taken at 3 stages during the growing season to look for differences in treatments and field management zones. Note the starter N only strips in the field shown in Image 6. Pre-side-dress nitrogen test (PSNT) soil samples were taken from the Starter $N$ at Planting Only (treatment 1) strips within the treatments at each site. At harvest, yield monitors were used to collect continuous side-by-side yield data to compare different N rates and application timings across the field management zones.

Figure 1: Example of VERIS map in management zones.
Veris Data Layer Report
Monday, February 1st 2016


Image 4: UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) Brugline Farms location


Image 5: UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) Nandale Farms location

## Nandale Farms -Y Drop 2015



Results:
Table 2a: Summary of 10 locations Yield and N Rates, PSNT results, Soil Texture and Previous Crop.

| Location | Jockbrae |  | Panmure |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\text { Total N }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yield (bu/ac) }}$ | $\underline{\text { Total N }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yield (bu/ac) }}$ |
| No side dress | 34 | 173 | 48 | 221.7 |
| Grower Split with 75 \% | 103 | 198 | 84 | 224.0 |
| Grower Rate | 109 | 207 | 113 | 222.8 |
| Grower Split with YD | 118 | 208 | 117 | 226.0 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate with YD | 154 | 215 | 141 | 226.5 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate | 149 | 208 | 141 | 226.0 |
| Average PSNT-June 5 (range) | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 - 2 2 )}$ | 39 | $\mathbf{( 2 2 - 5 6 )}$ |
| Average PSNT-June 17 <br> (range) | 14 | $(7-21)$ | 34 | $(21-46)$ |
| Soil Texture <br> Previous Crop | Sandy Loam <br> Soybean | Silt loam to loam <br> Winter wheat |  |  |


| Location | Nandale |  | VVF |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Plots | $\underline{\text { Total N }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yield (bulac) }}$ | $\underline{\text { Total N }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yield (bulac) }}$ |
| No side dress | 11 | 131 | 45 | 185 |
| Grower Split with 75 \% | 65 | 156 | 120 | 209 |
| Grower Rate | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | 167 | 145 | 209 |
| Grower Split with YD | 126 | 167 | 145 | 212 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate with YD | 171 | 172 | 195 | 212 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate | 171 | 174 | 195 | 211 |
| Average PSNT-June 5 (range) | N/A |  | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 7 - 3 2 )}$ |
| Average PSNT-June 17 <br> (range) | 11 |  | 20 | $(13-24)$ |
| Soil Texture <br> Previous Crop | Silt loam to silt-clay loam <br> Mixed Grain | Loam to silt loam <br> Soybean |  |  |

Table 2b: Summary of 10 locations Yield and N Rates, PSNT results, Soil Texture and Previous Crop. (Continued)

| Location | Brugman |  | Vanden Bosch |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Plots | Total N | Yield (bulac) | Total N | Yield (bu/ac) |
| No side dress | 84 | 204 | 40 | 119.8 |
| Grower Split with 75 \% | 114 | 205 | 130 | 186.3 |
| Grower Rate | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | 209 | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | 193.6 |
| Grower Split with YD | 144 | 208 | 160 | 185.3 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate with YD | 184 | 216 | 200 | 197.1 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate | 184 | 214 | 200 | 194.9 |
| Average PSNT-June 5 (range) <br> Average PSNT-June 17 (range) | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 - 4 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 1 - 1 4 )}$ |
| Soil Texture <br> Previous Crop | Silt loam <br> Soybean | Loam to silt loam <br> Soybean |  |  |


| Location | AGD |  | Hilltone |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Plots | Total N | Yield (bulac) | Total N | Yield (bu/ac) |
| No side dress | 51 | 168.6 | 47 | 110 |
| Grower Split with 75 \% | 141 | 239.3 | 145 | 155 |
| Grower Rate | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ | 242.8 | 179 | 165 |
| Grower Split with YD | 171 | 244.0 | 177 | 163 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate with YD | 211 | 241.7 | 219 | 169 |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate | 211 | 226.0 | 217 | 169 |
| Average | 159.3 | 227.1 | 164.0 | 155.2 |
| Average PSNT-June 5 (range) | 19 | $\mathbf{( 1 3 - 2 6 )}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{( 1 0 - 1 3 )}$ |
| Average PSNT-June 17 (range) | 11 | $(4-16)$ | 13 | 13 |
| Soil Texture <br> Previous Crop | Sandy loam to loam <br> Soybean | Silt loam <br> Soybean |  |  |

Table 2c: Summary of 10 locations Yield and N Rates, PSNT results, Soil Texture and Previous Crop. (Continued)

| Location | Kemp Farms |  | Cederlodge Farms |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Plots | Total N | Yield (bu/ac) | Total N | Yield (bu/ac) |  |
| No side dress | 34 | 157 | 60 | 172 |  |
| Grower Split with 75 \% | 154 | 191 | 145 | 192 |  |
| Grower Rate | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | 196 | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | 183 |  |
| Grower Split with YD | 204 | 195 | 145 | 191 |  |
| $125 \%$ of grower rate with YD | 244 | 191 | 180 | 185 |  |
| 125 \% of grower rate | 244 | 203 | 180 | 189 |  |
| Average PSNT-June 5 (range) | 13 | $\mathbf{( 1 0 - 1 5 )}$ |  |  |  |
| Average PSNT-June 17 (range) | 33 | $\mathbf{( 2 6 - 4 7 )}$ | 33 | $(26-47)$ |  |
| Soil Texture <br> Previous Crop | Sandy loam to silt loam <br> Corn | Clay-loam <br> Corn |  |  |  |

Table 3: Yield and Economics at 2015 Nandale Farms location:

| Treatment | Planter <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Broadcast <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Y Drop <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Total <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Yield <br> (bu/ac) | Net <br> (\$/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 11 | 0 |  | 11 | 131 |  |
| Reduced | 11 | 65 | 25 | 101 | 161 | $\$ 56$ |
| Standard | 11 | 115 |  | 126 | 167 | $\$ 13$ |
| Std. Y Drop | 11 | 75 | 40 | 126 | 167 | $-\$ 3$ |
| N High | 11 | 160 |  | 171 | 174 | $-\$ 8$ |
| High Y Drop | 11 | 110 | 50 | 171 | 172 | $-\$ 17$ |

Net = additional corn bushels at $\$ 4.50$ per bushel, additional nitrogen at $\$ 0.70$ per pound of nitrogen plus the cost of $Y$ Drop at $\$ 15.00$ per acre where applicable.

Image 6: Field view of Nandale Farms -Y Drop 2015 location.


Figure 2. Yield Monitor Delta Yield calculation of the Most Economic Rate of Nitrogen 2015 Nandale Farms


## Summary:

2015 is only one year's data and is the first of a three project. In 2015, there was no benefit to the split, late applied ( Y -Drop) nitrogen at most of the sites. Yield response to higher N rates did not pay for the additional N costs. Example Nandale location Table 3, the Grower Rate provided the most return. Using the Grower Rate split applied with the Y Drop had an additional cost of $\$ 15$ per acre for Y Drop application costs.

The lack of response to $Y$ Drop and higher $N$ rates can be practically explained by the favorable growing season (adequate rainfall, moderate temperatures and higher crop heat units) and the high available soil nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ levels as shown by the PSNT (PreSidedress Nitrogen Test) results taken on June $5^{\text {th }}$ and again on June $17^{\text {th }}$. From Table 2, Pre-Sidedress Nitrogen Soil Test (PSNT) fertilizer N recommendations (OMAFRA), the N recommendation was 100 down to 0 pounds per acre. Other factors that will need to be considered are hybrid response, crop rotation, planting timing and fungicide use.

However, there does appear to be a potential opportunity to variable rate apply N as shown in graph 1; the Most Economical Rate of Nitrogen (MERN) for the Nandale Farm location. The field would appear to be very uniform; Image 6. At the Grower Rate of 126 pounds per acre (lbs/ac) was adequate for most points along the length of the field, but with there were also points that the N could be reduced to about $100 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$ that could save up to $\$ 18 / \mathrm{ac}$ ( 26 lbs N at $\$ 0.70 / \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N}$ ) in zones within the field. The yield, Veris and UAV maps will be analyzed to determine which is the best correlation to these zones.

Table 4: Pre-Sidedress Nitrogen Soil Test (PSNT) fertilizer N recommendations based on soil nitrate concentration (PPM) and expected yield (bulacre).

| Soil Nitrate (PPM) | Expected Yield (bu/ac) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 120 | 143 | 167 | 191 | 215 | 239 |
|  | Sidedress Nitrogen Fertilizer Recommendation (lb N/acre) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 176 | 197 | 218 | 240 | 261 | 282 |
| 2.5 | 163 | 184 | 205 | 225 | 246 | 267 |
| 5 | 151 | 171 | 191 | 211 | 231 | 252 |
| 7.5 | 138 | 158 | 177 | 197 | 216 | 236 |
| 10 | 126 | 144 | 163 | 182 | 201 | 221 |
| 12.5 | 113 | 131 | 149 | 168 | 187 | 206 |
| 15 | 99 | 117 | 135 | 153 | 172 | 190 |
| 17.5 | 83 | 102 | 120 | 138 | 156 | 175 |
| 20 | 57 | 86 | 105 | 123 | 141 | 159 |
| 22.5 | 0 | 60 | 88 | 107 | 126 | 144 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 90 | 110 | 128 |
| 27.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 92 | 111 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 93 |
| 32.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Next Steps:

2015 was the first of a three project. Plots are planned to be setup, monitored and harvested again in 2016 and 2017. Further analysis of the 2015 yield monitor harvest data is underway such as MERNs for each location. Data from the VERIS measurements of CEC, pH and Organic Matter soil characteristics and the UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) maps will be over-laid with the yield data to evaluate the correlation between these information layers to see if this can be used to explain the soil/nitrogen relationship and potential management zones. These results will be added to the report when available. Results to date have been presented at several local Soil \& Crop Improvement Association - Annual Meetings in eastern Ontario and will be at the 2016 Eastern Ontario Crop Conference.
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