# Survey Of Regulated And Non-Regulated Metals In A Range Of Ontario Agricultural Soils

### Purpose:

To determine the concentrations of regulated & non-regulated metals in a range of agricultural soils across Ontario. Furthermore, the results will be used to evaluate if the concentrations of metals in agricultural soils across Ontario have any potential to pose a risk in the use of biosolids as a nutrient source. (A parallel project administered by MOE measured the concentration of the same metals in municipal sewage biosolids)

#### Methods:

Multiple fifteen centimeter (6 inch) cores were extracted from 178 row crop and horticultural crop fields in the fall of 2002 in conjunction with the ongoing Benchmarking N and N Verification studies conducted by OMAF Crop Technology staff. A minimum of 32 cores or 24 cores were extracted from Benchmark and Verification sites respectively. The cores were composited to 400 grams of a well mixed sample. Duplicate samples were packaged and forwarded to the Land Resource Dept. of the University of Guelph and the Ontario Ministry of Environment for metal concentration analysis.

### **Results:**

Table 1. presents the metals data summarized over the range of farm types evaluated. Data is presented to show metals levels in overall sites and broken out by row crop and hort crop related sites.

### Summary:

The concentrations of the regulated metals were with few exceptions, lower than the maximum permissible levels as stated in the *Guidelines for the Utilization of Sewage Biosolids and Other Wastes, March 96.* 

### **Next Steps:**

The data will be further scrutinized to determine if any of the unregulated metal concentrations found pose any potential negative impacts regarding the utilization of municipal biosolids or other regulated materials as nutrient sources or soil amendments.

### Acknowledgements:

OMAF Field Crop Technology would like to acknowledge the farm cooperators who made land available and provided permission for sampling their field sites in conducting this project. Technical assistance and analytical components of the project were supported by Dr. Bev Hale, Dept. of Land Resource Science, University of Guelph and Mr. Tony Ho, Ontario Ministry of Environment.

### **Project Contacts:**

Michael Payne, OMAFRA, Stratford, michael.payne@ontario.ca

## Location of Project Final Report:

Michael Payne, OMAFRA, michael.payne@ontario.ca

| Table1: Concentration of Regulated and Non Regulated Metals in Ontario Farm Fields Compared to the |  |
|--|--|
| Ontario Typical Range for Metals Based on MOE Standards  |  |

| Site Types     | Chloride  | Mercury             | Beryllium    | Magnesium | Aluminum | Calcium  | Vanadium  | Chromium | Manganese | lron      | Cobalt             | Nickel  |
|----------------|---|---------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|---------|
|                | ug/g  | ug/g                | ug/g         | ug/g      | ug/g     | ug/g     | ug/g      | ug/g     | ug/g      | ug/g      | ug/g               | ug/g    |
| 1 <sup>*</sup> | 23.32   | 0.07                | 1.1          | 10000     | 29000    | 23000    | 62        | 45.1     | 984       | 35100     | 17.1               | 37.4    |
| 2              | 2.8   | 0.02                | 0.5          | 4850      | 15000    | 6800     | 39        | 23       | 570       | 20000     | 7.7                | 17      |
| 3              | 232.6   | 0.12                | 0.56         | 11040     | 26260    | 61920    | 53.3      | 40.3     | 870       | 27780     | 14                 | 37.5    |
| 4              | 3.6   | 0.02                | 0.25         | 2900      | 8950     | 5450     | 27        | 14       | 320       | 13500     | 4.6                | 13      |
| 5              | 45  | 0.13                | 1.1          | 20000     | 30000    | 55000    | 77        | 58       | 2200      | 35000     | 16                 | 38      |
| Site Types     | Copper  | Zinc                | Molybdenum   | Cadmium   | Barium   | Lead     | Strontium | Boron    | Silver    | Sodium    | Total<br>Potassium | Sulphur |
|                | ug/g  | ug/g                | ug/g         | ug/g      | ug/g     | ug/g     | ug/g      | ug/g     | ug/g      | ug/g      | ug/g               | ug/g    |
| 1              | 33  | 120                 | 3.04         | 1.1       | 197      | 22       | 56.8      | 28       | 0.2       | 505       | 5870               | 0.05    |
| 2              | 14  | 63                  | 0.25         | 0.45      | 71.5     | 10       | 20        | 9.7      | 0.075     | 120       | 2000               | 0.026   |
| 3              | 309   | 142.6               | 7.07         | 1.03      | 181.2    | 31.9     | 97.1      | 34.5     | 0.11      | 290       | 4912               | 0.34    |
| 4              | 16  | 56                  | 0.25         | 0.25      | 43       | 11       | 17        | 9.2      | 0.05      | 95        | 1300               | 0.03    |
| 5              | 41  | 120                 | 1            | 0.71      | 160      | 45       | 64        | 30       | 0.27      | 660       | 6500               | 0.079   |
| Site Types     | Total Nitrogen <del>1</del>   | Total<br>Phosphorus | Total Solids | Uranium   | Arsenic  | Selenium | Antimony  | Fluoride | Titanium  | Tin       | Thallium           |         |
|                |   |                     |              |           |          |          |           |          | % dry     |           |                    |         |
|                | ug/g  | ug/g                | ug/g         | ug/g      | ug/g     | ug/g     | ug/g      | ug/g     | wt        | ug/g      | ug/g               |         |
| 1              | 3.1   | 1.6                 | 91.7         | 3.04      | 9.79     | 0.57     | 0.8       | 187      | 0.567     | 1.37      | 0.89               |         |
| 2              | 1.8   | 0.92                | 50           | 0.65      | 3.5      | 0.2      | 0.2       | 57       | 0.42      | 0.61      | 0.29               |         |
| 3              | 30.3  | 2.9                 | 825.2        | 4.61      | 15.52    | 1.63     | 0.619     | 474      | 0.53      | 9.02      | 10.66              |         |
| 4              | 1.6   | 1                   | 42           | 0.8       | 4.15     | 0.18     | 0.1       | 78       | 0.33      | 0.96      | 0.598              |         |
| 5              | 5.7   | 1.9                 | na           | 2.1       | 11       | 0.93     | 0.43      | 61       | 0.52      | na        | 0.81               |         |
|                | Thisse  | luce in the         | a 0.04h m    |           |          |          |           |          | (         | a a Cauth |                    |         |
| 1              |   |                     |              |           |          |          |           |          | from acro |           |                    | 110.    |
| 2              |   |                     |              |           |          |          |           |          | ross Sout |           |                    |         |
| 3              |   |                     | -            |           |          |          |           |          | rom acros |           |                    | rio.    |
| 4              | This is the median value from 44 Horticultural Field sites from across Southern Ontario.  |                     |              |           |          |          |           |          |           |           |                    |         |
| 5              | The Ontario Typical Range is the average value for Rural Parkland (parks, cemeteries, forests, woodlots and other undeveloped areas) as determined by a MOE survey published in 1993 (ISBN O-778-1979-1).<br>Bth percentile values presented in Site Types 1 and 3 are the points where 98% of the samples have |                     |              |           |          |          |           |          |           |           |                    |         |

The 98th percentile values presented in Site Types 1 and 3 are the points where 98% of the samples have values lower than this value, and 2% have values higher...in other words, it represents the highest values you are likely to find in the data set.